IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 1ST MARCH 2007 / 10TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 6825 of 2007(K)

PETITIONER:

P.V.SADASIVAN, S/O.VELUTHAKUNJU,
PUTHEN VEEDU, (NEAR RAILWAY STATION),
THITTAMEL MURI, VADAKKEKARA VILLAGE, CHENGANNUR,
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.VINODKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS,
RAIL BHAVAN, NEW DELHI.

2. THE DIVISIONAL MANAGER,
TRIVANDRUM DIVISION, (WORKS BRANCH),
TRIVANDRUM-14.

3. THE ESTATE OFFICER,
SOUTHERN RAILWAY, DIVISION OFFICE,
WORKS BRAHCN, TRIVANDRUM-14.

BY ADV. SRI.S.K.MURALEEDHARAN KAIMAL, SC,RAILWAYS

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL.B.RADHAKRISHNAN.J

W.P(C) No. 6825 of 2007

Dated this the 1st day of March 2007

JUDGMENT

Heard

2. Petitioner will treat Ext.P1 as one served on him as against him and will show cause why that order shall not be enforced against him. The competent among the respondents will give an opportunity of hearing if the petitioner files such a representation and decide the matter, including by considering whether the petitioner has shown any right to occupy the premises and also whether he is entitled to continue in the premises . Such decision will be taken at the earliest after hearing the petitioner, provided he make such representation within two weeks from now. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid direction. If the representation is filed as above it is directed that the petitioner would not be dispossessed from the premises before the decision as aforesaid is taken. It will also be ensured that such representation of the petitioner is treated on behalf of any person claiming under Velunthakunju, the party who was litigating under Ext.P2

THOTTATHIL.B.RADHAKRISHNAN.JUDGE

es